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**Terms of Reference**

**EXTERNAL EVALUATION OF BPRM FUNDED PROJECT**

**Project Title :** Inclusive specialized services for vulnerable persons including persons with disabilities in Cox's Bazar Rohingya camps and host communities (Phase 2, Year 3)

PD Ref: PD-UKHI-01614

**1.General Information**

**1.1 About Humanity & Inclusion**

Humanity & Inclusion (formerly Handicap International) is an international non-governmental Organization founded in 1982 and currently located in more than 60 countries worldwide. Humanity & Inclusion is an independent and impartial aid organisation working in situations of poverty and exclusion, conflict and disaster. The organisation works alongside people with disabilities and vulnerable populations, taking action and bearing witness in order to respond to their essential needs, improve their living conditions and promote respect for their dignity and fundamental rights.

**1.2 About Humanity & Inclusion in Bangladesh**

Humanity & Inclusion (HI) has been operational in Bangladesh since 1997 and supporting for universal and inclusive access to services for all, particularly in health, education and socio-economic empowerment and operate in 2 districts: Kurigram and Cox’s Bazar.

In Dhaka, a Senior Management Team oversees all country operations, consisting of a Country Director, Operation Manager, Country MEAL Manager, Technical Unit Manager, Finance Manager, HR Manager, Logistics Manager, and Compliance Manager. The intervention in the field offices in Ukhiya and Teknaf is guided by two Area Managers. HI also has a team of technical specialists in areas such as Rehabilitation, Mental Health and Psychosocial Support, Protection, Inclusion Humanitarian Action, and Accessibility. They follow HI global standards to ensure quality of services strengthen the technical capacity of field operations teams, and coordinate with clusters and technical working groups. HI is known for promoting the rights of vulnerable individuals, particularly person with disabilities and providing quality specialized services during emergency responses.

HI has been operating in Cox's Bazar for over 12 years with a team of experienced and qualified professionals, having a deep understanding of the local context and strong relationships with local authorities and influential partners.

**2. Context of the Evaluation**

**2.1 Presentation of the BPRM funded Project**

The BPRM funded project titled "Inclusive Specialized Services for Vulnerable Persons, including Persons with Disabilities in Cox's Bazar Rohingya Camps and Host Communities" has three main objectives. The first objective is to enhance the quality and timely access to comprehensive functional rehabilitation and healthcare services through mobile units, static points, and targeted health facilities in camps and host communities. The second objective is to improve the protection environment and increase resilience of persons with concerns, particularly persons with disabilities, older people, and other vulnerable individuals, among the Rohingya community through equitable access to life-saving protection and mental health and psychosocial support (MHPSS) services and strengthening community-based protection mechanisms. The third objective is to promote the inclusion of persons with disabilities and older people in the overall Rohingya humanitarian response, including disaster risk reduction and preparedness services in camps and host communities. The project (Phase 2, Year 3) started in September 2024 and was originally scheduled to end in August 2025. However, following the U.S. Government’s stop work order, consistent with the President’s Executive Order on Reevaluating and Realigning United States Foreign Aid, HI fully halted all PRM-funded interventions after January 24th. After two weeks, HI received a waiver from PRM, permitting the continuation of lifesaving activities including physical rehabilitation, injury and trauma care, emergency mental health interventions for crisis-affected individuals, maternal and newborn health care, screening, identification, and referral of individuals for persons with disabilities. HI revised the project removing activities not aligned with life-saving definition of BPRM and requested for extension of three months to ensure the life saving services continue without disruption.

Since the influx in August 2017, HI has established emergency mobile teams that consist of a team manager, a project officer for beneficiary identification and referral pathways, rehabilitation staff, a nurse for basic healthcare and referrals, a protection officer for case identification and external referrals, two MHPSS officers for individualized services and referrals to specialized mental health services, and an inclusive sports and recreational officer for facilitating sessions with children and adults.

HI & implementing partner CDD operates Eleven teams in Ukhiya and Teknaf Upazilas covering 18 camps, providing adapted and comprehensive assistance to vulnerable individuals including persons with disabilities. HI also provides rehabilitation services in 5 hospitals and clinics. Door-to-door home-based rehabilitation services are provided in Jaliapalong union under Ukhiya Upazila and through mobile van approach in Whykong, Baharchharha, Sabrang unions under Teknaf Upazilla, through its partner CDD.

**3. Objectives of the Evaluation**

The overall objectives and expectations of the evaluation are given as below:

**3.1 General Objective**

The general objective of the evaluation is to conduct an independent assessment of the project's implementation and intervention and identify strengths, lesson learnt, recommendations, areas for improvement/modification/adjustment.

**3.2 Specific Objectives**

The specific objective of this evaluation is to assess the project based on the HI quality framework criteria of **Changes, Relevance, Effectiveness and Accountability to Population.**

The evaluation aims to assess the project's changes, relevance, effectiveness and efficiency by reviewing the following criteria:

* The project aims at positive short, medium and/or long-term changes for the targeted populations. Assess the contributions of the project results at both output and outcome levels, including cross-cutting issues based on existing evidence and analysis. Identify key gaps and provide targeted recommendations for supporting data-driven adjustments for improved design and implementation of the interventions. (Changes)
* The project meets the identified needs and is adapted to the context of intervention. (Relevance)
* The extent to which the project's objectives have been achieved. Document programmatic and operational lessons learned and identify effective practices, adaptations and strategies from the implementation arrangements of the project by HI and CDD, which will support the long-term sustainability and design of future relevant project in Cox's Bazar. (Effectiveness)

**3.3 Evaluation Criteria and Evaluative Questions**

The selected consultant will have to address these following criteria & questions at the time of evaluation of this project

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Evaluative Questions** |
| **Changes** | * To what extent does the project contribute to the achievement of positive and measurable changes for the targeted actors and put in place measures to mitigate any potential negative effects.? [Effects] * How the scenario of continuity beyond the project is anticipated, planned and formulated? [Continuity] * Does the project aim to strengthen actors, reduce the vulnerability of target populations, and improve services to contribute to their empowerment? [Empowerment] |
| **Relevance** | * Does the project respond to the demands and needs of the population,   contribute to the priorities of other stakeholders (authorities, partners, donors, etc.), and is consistent with other ongoing interventions in the area in order to ensure a comprehensive response to the multiple and evolving needs of the target groups. [Needs]   * Does the project adapts its action according to the context of interventions (socis cultural and historical determinants, security, logistical constraints, regulatory framework, environment...) and tasks into account its evolution? [Context]. |
| **Effectiveness** | * How do the activities contribute to the achievement of the project's objectives based on results-based management? [Result] * Which system is followed for the regular monitoring of project activities and results is in place? (leading to adjustments if necessary) [Adjustment] * To what extent do the project achievements meet the required quality, in line with HI and/or international technical standards? [Technically] |
| **Accountability to population** | * Do people have access to spaces or means of expression (satisfaction, measurement, feedback mechanism etc.) [Expression] |
| **Partnership** | * Does the project develop thoughtful, relevant and effective operational partnerships in a collaborative manner? [Collaboration] |

**4. Evaluation Methodology and Organization of the Mission/Assignment**

**4.1 Data Collection Methodology**

**4.1.1 Location of Work**

The evaluation will cover BRPM funded project implementing areas in Cox’s Bazar district covering both Ukhiya and Teknaf Upazilas including camps/ Refugees and host communities. The camps include 1E, 1W, 2E, 3, 4, 7,9, KTPRC, 2W,20, 12, 14 and 17 under Ukhiya Upazila and 22, 24, 26, 27 and NRC under Teknaf Upazila. Host community should cover from surrounding camps and government health facilities.

**4.1.2 Target Population**

The evaluation will focus on the project's stakeholders and beneficiaries. Beneficiaries from both Camps and host communities, hospitals/clinics, partners, service providers, duty bearers such as government officials, and others will be included. It is Recommended to involve at least 60% of person with disabilities. The evaluation team must prioritize inclusion of diverse voices across gender, age, and disability type

**4.1.3 Evaluation Design**

This evaluation is structured in such a way that each of the selected quality criteria is assessed by comparing the project implementation quality to the Humanity & Inclusion quality standard. The evaluation will take a mixed approach that will include both qualitative and quantitative approaches.

**4.1.4 Selection and Sampling Procedure**

The evaluation will use a combination of sampling techniques, with systematic sampling being the predominant method. Key stakeholders and partners will be selected through purposive sampling to obtain the most relevant information for the evaluation. Purposive sampling will be utilized for qualitative data collection. However, the consultant or consulting firm will recommend the most appropriate sampling methods for the evaluation.

**4.1.5 Data Collection Methods and Tools**

The Consultant/consulting firm will create the necessary evaluation tools, techniques and guidelines, which will be based on standard protocols and agreed upon with HI. In addition to using these tools, the evaluation will also involve reviewing relevant project and organizational documents as well as other sector-specific materials to provide answers to the evaluative questions.

**4.1.6 Data Processing and Analysis**

The collection and analysis of data for this evaluation will follow specific methods for each type of data. Quantitative data will be gathered using Mobile Data Collection tools and analyzed using relevant Statistical Packages. Qualitative data will be analyzed through Content Analysis using suitable tools.

**4.1.7 Quality Monitoring**

Several measures will be constituted to ensure that the quality of data is good, mainly through triangulation, pretesting of tools, and having a clear data collection plan.

**4.2 Parties Involved in the Evaluation and Responsibilities**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Party** | **Roles & Responsibilities** |
| **Steering Committee (Operation Manager/Area Manager, Regional MEAL Manager, Regional Technical Specialist, Country Manager)** | * Review and validate proposed evaluation tools and methodology. * Assist in the recruitment process of the consultants by forming a review committee. * Review the proposal (Technical & Financial) & evaluate the proposal based on the evaluation criteria * Taking interview of the shortlisted firms to finalize the award decision * Participate in various project meetings such as the kick-off meeting and the interview of consultants. * Review the draft report and provide feedback for improvement. * Validate the final report using the HI quality checklist provided in the annexes. |
| **Operations Team (Area Manager and Project Manager)** | * Ensure compliance of implementation with administrative, temporal and financial conditions. * Plan the budgetary needs and process the supply requirements. * Communicate and mobilize stakeholders about the study. * Plan the agenda with stakeholders and beneficiaries as soon as the plan is elaborated. |
| **Country Finance Manager** | * Provide guidance on financial aspects of the project. * Share the budget available for the evaluation. * Ensure payment is made to the consultant according to the agreed instalments and terms and conditions. |
| **Technical Team**  **(Technical Specialists)** | * Review of analysis tools, including identifying needs and relevant infographics. * Review and provide feedback on data collection tools. * Review and provide feedback on the evaluation report. * Participate in the implementation of evaluation recommendations. |
| **MEAL / Information Management Team**  **(Regional MEAL Manager/Sr. MEAL officer)** | * Conduct meetings with the consultant to plan and execute the evaluation. * Support in the design and definition of the evaluation methodology. * Assist in the development/adaptation of data collection tools. * Recruit the consultant/firms and supervise their activities. * Monitor the data collection and ensure quality. * Review the analysis tool developed by consultant. * Review analysis and provide feedback on the report. * Oversee the entire evaluation process. |
| **Consultant/Firms** | * Prepare an inception report. * Design the evaluation methodology, including sampling techniques and data collection procedures. * Develop or adapt data collection tools and guidelines. * Ensure adequate training of data collectors. * Collect Permission from RRRC for camp access * Collect both quantitative and qualitative data as per the defined methodology. * Organize and monitor data collection to ensure quality and consistency. * Develop appropriate tool for analysing the collected data * Conduct data compilation and analysis, including both quantitative and qualitative analysis. * Present the findings of the evaluation and respond to any questions or feedback. * Prepare a comprehensive evaluation report that addresses all evaluative questions. * Prepare a draft evaluation report and incorporate feedback from HI. * Submit the final evaluation report. |
| **Logistics Team/HR** | * Assist on the hiring of a consultant(s) by publishing the job offer, and receiving processing the application including other assistance to complete the evaluation work. |

**5. Principles and values**

**5.1. Protection and Anti-Corruption Policy**

The Evaluation will adhere to the Humanity and Inclusion code of conduct, Protection of beneficiaries from sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment policy, Child Protection Policy, Anti-fraud, anti-corruption policy (see the table below).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [*Code of Conduct*](https://hi.org/sn_uploads/document/ID_CodeOfConduct.pdf) | [*Protection of beneficiaries from sexual exploitation, abuse and harassment*](https://hi.org/sn_uploads/document/PI03_HI_Protection-Beneficiaries_EN.pdf) | [*Child Protection Policy*](https://hi.org/sn_uploads/document/PI02_HI-Child-Protection_EN_1.pdf) | [*Anti-fraud and anti-corruption policy*](https://hi.org/sn_uploads/document/PI04_IP_antiFraud-bribery-corruption-policy_1.pdf) |

**5.2. Ethical Measures\***

As part of each evaluation, HI is committed to upholding certain ethical measures. It is imperative that these measures are considered in the technical offer:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Ethical Risks** | **Mitigation Measures** |
| Security of subjects, partners and teams | * Inform local authorities of the evaluation so that they can provide and guarantee security. |
| Obtain the subjects’ free and informed consent | * All participants are informed in adapted language before beginning the data collection to empower them to make informed consent on participation (purpose and use of the data collection, potential associated risks, and their rights during the interview). A contact name is also shared if they have any questions or complaints. * Only persons who have signed the consent forms will participate. For clients or beneficiaries who are unable to sign a consent form, verbal consent will be recorded using a recorder. |
| Ensure the security of personal and sensitive data at all stages of the activity | * All data collected from respondents are collected in such a way that the respondent will not be harmed. * HI can share findings to the public and stakeholders but sharing raw data and personal information outside the organization is strictly prohibited. * A Data Sharing Agreement (DSA) will be signed between HI and the consultants. |

\**These measures may be adapted during the completion of the inception report.*

**5.3. Participation of Stakeholders and Beneficiaries**

For the project's evaluation, using the criteria of Changes, Relevance, Effectiveness, and Efficiency, the evaluation team /consultant will conduct interviews with various stakeholders, including partner organizations, government officials, organizations representing persons with disabilities, and other relevant stakeholders.

**5.4. Others**

The evaluation will follow all ethical considerations and will respect all human rights.

**6. Expected Deliverables and Proposed Schedule**

**6.1. Deliverables**

The deliverables for this evaluation include:

* An inception report (maximum 20 pages) that refines and specifies the proposed methodology for answering the evaluation questions, an action plan, and data collection tools and techniques. This report must be validated by the Steering Committee.
* A presentation document that summarizes the first results, conclusions, and recommendations, to be presented to the Steering Committee. The data collected must also be shared with HI.
* A final report of approximately 40-50 pages, including 3-5 pages synthesis for external sharing and a power point presentation of findings for management use.
* A standalone annex of 10 “beneficiary stories” using quotes, photos (with consent). Synthesis of beneficiaries feedback from KII and FGD.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *The final report should be integrated into the following template:* | *The quality of the final report will be reviewed by the Steering Committee of the evaluation using this checklist:* |
|  |  |

**6.2. End-of-Evaluation Questionnaire**

The end-of-evaluation questionnaire will be completed by a member of the Steering Committee, the person in charge of the evaluation and the evaluator together.

**6.3.** **Evaluation Plan and tentative Schedule**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Phase** | **Activities** | **Duration** |
| Hiring Consultant | Advertise the TOR to hire a consultant | 2 weeks |
| Recruitment of Consultant (interview, etc.) | 2 weeks |
| Phase-I  Desk Review – Inception Report | Consultant develops Inception Report, and data collection tools and presents to the Steering committee | 1 week |
| Approval of tools and methodology | 1 week |
| Phase-II  Field Data Collection | Training of Enumerators | 2 weeks |
| Make appointments with respondents |
| Field Data collection |
| Phase-III  Data Analysis, Report writing | Data Cleaning, Data Analysis | 2 weeks |
| A draft preliminary report with recommendations. |
| Review and Validation meetings with HI (HQ & Country) | 1 week |
| Finalize the feedback and share the final report within 5 days. Share the final report. | 1 week |
| Dissemination | Disseminate findings with Stakeholders through a dissemination meeting. | 1 week |

\* Tentative start date 05 October 2025 & completion by 05 December 2025

**7. Means**

**7.1 Expertise Sought from the Consultant(s)**

**Qualifications and experience required**

The composition of the team or individual is expected to be as follows:

* The lead researcher must have at least master’s degree in public health, Statistics, International Development Studies, Social sciences or any related qualification.
* Track record of conducting evaluation with at least 5 years’ experience in conducting evaluations, preferably in rehabilitation, MHPSS, Disability, SRH etc.
* Experience and knowledge of Disability programming will be an advantage.
* Experienced to work in refugees’ camps context will be an added advantage.
* Excellent interview, teamwork, communication and coordination and dissemination skills.
* Ability to write clear, concise reports in English.
* Experience in mobile data collection.
* Past good experience with HI preferred

*Applications that do not meet the minimum technical requirements will be considered technically non-compliant and will not be evaluated further*

**7.2 Selection Process**

A transparent and competitive process will be followed to recruit the consultant(s) or consulting firm. The request for proposal (RF) will be advertised in national/international websites. A multi-functional evaluation team will be formed to play the evaluation committee role.

**Assessing the Consultants/ Firms**

**Stage 1: Screening of Applications**

* All submitted applications will be screened to ensure that they have all necessary documents and requirements.
* Bidders without all necessary documents and information will not be considered for further analysis.
* The selection criteria include:
  + **Financial Proposal**, which accounts for **20%** of the total score.
* **Technical Proposal- 80%**
  + Experience evaluating projects with rehabilitation, persons with disabilities, protection and MHPSS, which accounts for 30% of the total score. **(Subject to evidence submission)**
  + Relevancy of the proposed tools/methodology, which accounts for 30% of the total score. **(Based on technical proposal)**
  + Experience on project evaluation of different INGO, UN, which accounts for 20% of the total score. **(Subject to evidence submission)**

Valid documents will be considered for scoring based on these criteria

**Stage 2: Shortlisting of Proposals and Interview**

* Applications that meet the minimum requirements in the preliminary screening will move to the next step.
* Shortlisting will be based on the selection/screening criteria mentioned.
* Reference check will be done following the shared supporting documents of the bidder
* Shortlisted candidates will be interviewed based on their experience evaluating projects with HI sectors of intervention (Disability inclusion, rehabilitation, protection and MHPSS), proposed tools/ methodology, soft skills (communication, English, writing, consortia) and means and planification.

**Stage 3: Selection**

After evaluating all components, a final report will be created, and the best candidate will be selected and offered a contract.

**7.3 Payment Condition**

The payment for the consultant/firm will be divided into three parts. The first payment of **20%** will be made after the inception report is submitted and approved. Another payment of **40%** will be made after the submission and validation of the draft evaluation report. The last payment, which is **40%** of the agreed amount, will be made after the final report is validated, any feedback is addressed, and the findings are shared with stakeholders through a meeting organized by HI.

***Caution:***Please note that the final payment is conditional, it depends on the validation of the quality of the final report and not just on the submission of the report. Validation refers to ensuring that the report meets the quality checklist provided in chapter 6 and does not depend on the evaluation of the project itself. The final payment will only be made once the report is validated and any feedback or comments from HI Team are addressed, and the findings are disseminated to stakeholders through a meeting organized by HI.

**7.4 Available Resources Made to the Evaluation Team**

Humanity & Inclusion will provide the Consultant(s) with all necessary information, including the Project Proposal, Progress Reports, Post Implementation Report, and support in scheduling appointments with Partner Organizations, government stakeholders, and others for interviews.

**8. Submission of ~~Applications~~  Proposals**

Interested applicants are requested to submit their proposals in accordance with the guidelines below and within the stipulated timeline.

* To apply, interested consultancy firms must send an email containing:
  + Cover letter and CV (maximum 4 pages) with references
  + TIN Copy, NID copy
* If the applicant is a company, they must also provide the following documents:
  + Company profile(s)
  + Documentation of legal status, including registration as a company ( Updated Trade License , BIN, TIN)
* Last TAX Submission Copy (Mandatory for Both Individual and Firm)
* Bank Solvency Certificate (optional for both individuals and companies)
* Insurance certificate (optional for both individuals and companies)
* Applicants must include a technical and financial proposal (maximum 15 pages) that outlines proposed methodologies and schedule.
* Applicants must provide documents demonstrating their experience in rehabilitation, inclusion, SRH, person with disability, and MHPSS.
* Applicants must provide documents of project evaluation for different NGO or INGO.
* The financial proposal should cover all costs of the evaluation, including consultant fees, field operations costs, accommodation, per diem, air tickets, transportation to collect data, food, and other related costs.
* Quoted price should include VAT and TAX following government rules. If any amount is excluding VAT and TAX, it should be shown with a necessary breakdown.
* Payment conditions should be clearly mentioned in the financial offer.
* Bank details, including the name of the account, bank name, branch, swift code, etc., must be provided.
* Proposals must be submitted in BDT.
* **Interested consultants who meet the requirements should submit a proposal to by 28th September 2025 (12 PM BGD Time)**

*Applications that do not include the above will be considered administratively non-compliant and will not be evaluated further*

**Online Bid Submission address:**

Send a digital file in the form of an email\* sent to the dedicated email address: [log.cox@bangladesh.hi.org](mailto:log.cox@bangladesh.hi.org) ; with the tender reference “**External Evaluation of BPRM funded project. PD-UKHI-01614”** in the subject).

\*If the file is too big to fit into 1 email (limit 15MB per email), bidder should split the submission into multiple emails. Please include numbering also in the subject.

**Appendices**

*on which all evaluators must base their evaluation.*

[*The Disability - Gender - Age Policy*](https://hi.org/sn_uploads/document/IP_DisabilityGenreAge_1.pdf)*, which must guide the approach and the construction of evaluation tools in the technical offer.*